## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

GERALD A. KELLOGG, an Individual,	)
Plaintiff,	) ) 8:07CV70
V.	) )
NIKE, Inc., an Oregon Corporation, and NIKE USA, Inc., an Oregon Corporation,	ORDER )
Defendants.	) ) )

This matter is before the court on the plaintiff's motion to enforce surety's liability by executing on the supersedeas bond, Filing No. 402. This court entered judgment for attorney's fees in favor of Kellogg and against Nike in the amount of \$387,755.00, plus costs in the amount of \$23,932.00. Filing No. 383. Nike appealed that judgment and this court stayed execution on the judgment after Nike posted its Supersedeas Bond No. 6675364, in the amount of \$500,000.00, naming Safeco Insurance Company of America and General Insurance Company of America as surety. Filing No. 391, Order; Filing No. 394, supersedeas bond. The defendants' appeal has now been dismissed. Filing No. 401, Mandate.

It appears that this is a proper case for the enforcement of the bond. As of the date of the filing of the plaintiff's motion, 614 days passed since the entry of judgment, accruing interest in the amount of \$2,423.88, for a total current Judgment of \$414,110.88, plus interest at the legal rate from and after September 26, 2011. See Filing No. 402, Ex. B. Accordingly, Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65.1,

## IT IS ORDERED:

 The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of plaintiff's motion, attachments thereto, and this order to:

Safeco Insurance Company of America & General Insurance Company of America 1001 4th Avenue, Suite 1700 Seattle, WA 98154

The surety shall respond to the motion within 14 days of the date of this order, at which time the matter will be considered submitted.

DATED this 28th day of September, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Joseph F. Bataillon
Chief District Judge

<sup>\*</sup>This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.